[2nd July 1952

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—" The High Power Committee has not considered the question of giving adequate financial resources to the primary weavers' co-operative societies. My question is this. Now the funds of the societies including the borrowings from the financing banks are locked up in stocks. How are the Government going to help the primary societies to continue their production?"

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA :—" The additional facility that has been afforded by the new scheme is that the co-operative societies can borrow money from the central banks on the pledge of their stocks. But some of the central banks may not be willing to give money on account of the fall in prices because they are likely to lose. But according to this scheme, the Government guarantee the loans advanced to the co-operative societies."

Sri P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Is there any limit which the Government have fixed for advancing loans to these co-operative societies under the new scheme?"

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA :—" I want to have notice."

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—" There is no such procedure of goods being pledged with the co-operative financing banks and loans being obtained from them by the weavers' co-operative societies. Now there is provision in the by-laws under which the co-operative societies can obtain loans to the extent of eight times their paid-up share capital but the central banks are refusing to give loans. Also some weavers' co-operative societies are not getting loans . . ."

Mr. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member is making a speech. He should straight way put the question."

Sri PRAGADA KOTAIAH :—" Are the Government aware of the fact that the co-operative societies in Kakinada area are refused credit by the Kakinada Central Bank, and may I know what the Government propose to do to finance those societies?"

The Hon. Sri D. SANJIVAYYA :—" I am getting for the first time now the information with regard to the refusal of loans by a certain bank mentioned by the hon. Gentleman. I shall take adequate steps in the matter."

Recommendations of the Land Revenue Reforms Committee.

* 24 Q.—Sri K. T. KOSALRAM : Will the Hon. the Minister for Revenue be pleased to state—

(a) why the Government have not implemented the recommendations of the Sri Subramaniam Land Revenue Reforms Committee; and

(b) when do the Government propose to implement the recommendations?

2nd July 1952]

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" (*a*) & (*b*) The recommendations of the Land Revenue Reforms Committee are being examined by the Government. The question of implementing the recommendations of the Committee will be taken up after the Government arrive at a decision on its recommendations."

SRI K. T. KOSALRAM : :—" May I ask whether the Government will be pleased to allot a day to consider the recommendations of this Committee on the floor of the House itself? " 10-45 a.m.

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—I am afraid it is too premature now to give a reply to the question put by the hon. Member."

SRI PILLALAMARRI VENKATESWARLU :—" May I know when the Government received the report of this Committee? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" I do not exactly remember the date. But, I may inform the hon. Member that it might be a few months ago."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" ఆ రిపోర్టును ప్రభుత్వం సభ్యులకు అందజేయగలదా ? "

SRI C. V. K. RAO :—" The hon. Member wants to know whether the report of the Land Revenue Reforms Committee can be supplied to the hon. Members of this House."

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" Sir, there is time enough to consider that question."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" Is it not a fact that the report has already been printed? "

MR. SPEAKER :—" The hon. Member knows English now." (Laughter.)

SRI T. VISWANATHAM :—" May I know whether the Hon. Minister is aware that the report was already published and placed before the previous Assembly? Many of the hon. Members of this House are new and there are only 31 old Members in this House consisting of 375 Members. Will the Hon. Minister be pleased to supply the Members of this House as well with copies of that report? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" I thank the hon. Member for the valuable information that he has given. I will consider the latter question."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" Do the Government know that as soon as the report was published, thousands of persons have been evicted from their lands and holdings and do the Government propose to take any steps immediately to stop these evictions? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" I do not grant the premises of the hon. Member."

SRI VAVILALA GOPALAKRISHNAIAH :—" ఈ కమిటీ రాఘవేంద్రరావు కమిటీ రిపోర్టును ప్రకటించవలసిందిగా సూచించింది. ఇదివరకు ఒకసారి ఆ రిపోర్టును అవసరమైతే ప్రకటిస్తామని ప్రభుత్వంవారు చెప్పియున్నారు. కనుక ఆ రిపోర్టును సభ్యులకు అందజేస్తారా, లేక ప్రకటిస్తారా ? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" It was answered already. I do not know whether copies are available. The Government will, however, consider the hon. Member's request."

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—" May I know whether the Government have received reports regarding the evictions following the publication of the report of that Committee or not? "

THE HON. SRI M. A. MANICKAVELU NAICKER :—" I would like to have notice."

MR. SPEAKER :—" Questions are over."

[Note.—An asterisk (*) at the commencement of a speech indicates revision by the Member.]

II.—GOVERNMENT MOTION.

VOTE OF CONFIDENCE IN THE COUNCIL OF MINISTERS (DISCUSSION CONTINUED).

MR. SPEAKER :—" The next item on the agenda is the discussion on the Confidence Motion. The hon. Member Sri Govinda Rao may continue his speech."

* SRI **K. GOVINDA RAO** :—" అధ్యక్షా, నిన్నటి రోజున నేను చెరుకు పండించే రైతులపట్ల ఈ ప్రభుత్వం ఎంత నిర్దయగా వర్తిస్తున్నదో మనవి చేసుకున్నాను. భూస్వాములు పెట్టుబడిదార్లు ఈ ప్రభుత్వానికి స్నేహవర్గంలో వారని అనుటకు ఎంతమాత్రం సందేహంలేదు. ఫాక్టరీ యజమానులవద్ద డబ్బు లేదంటే జాలిపడే ఈ ప్రభుత్వం రైతాంగం దగ్గర మాత్రం డబ్బు ఉందో లేదో గుర్తించదు. వారికి కావలసినదల్లా భూస్వామి, పెట్టుబడిదారు సౌఖ్యముగా తిండి తింటున్నారా లేదా అనే విషయమే. యుద్ధకాలంలో ఈ ఫాక్టరీ యజమానులు ఎంతెంత డబ్బు సంపాదించారో, పంచదారను దొంగ మార్కెట్టులో అమ్మి అక్రమంగా ఎంత లాభాలు గడించారో గ్రహించగోరుతాను. షుగర్ కంట్రోలు ఆర్డరుకు వ్యతిరేకంగా మిల్లు యజమానులు ప్రవర్తించినా వారిని ప్రభుత్వము ఎందుకు శిక్షించదు అని అడుగుతున్నాను. రైతులు ముందుగానే చెరుకును ఫాక్టరీ యజమానులకు ఇవ్వాలి. కాని ఫాక్టరీ యజమానులు తమకు వీలైనప్పుడు తమకు గిట్టుబాటు అవుతుందని తోచిన ధర కట్టి రైతులకు ఇస్తారట. ఎన్నో వ్యయప్రయాసలకు ఓర్చి రైతు జీవించటానికి పండిస్తున్నాడు కాని, అతడు ఎంత పెట్టుబడి పెట్టాడు, ఎంత కష్టపడ్డాడు, అనే విషయం ప్రభుత్వానికి అక్కరలేదు. కావలసినదల్లా ఫాక్టరీ యజమానులకు ఎంత వెల అయితే గిట్టుబాటు అవుతుంది అనేదే? ఈ